| Examiner-Initiated Intervi w Summary   | Application No.               | Applicant(s)              |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|  | 09/849,437                    | NAKAMINAMI ET AL.         |
|  | Examiner                      | Art Unit                  |
|  | Bena Miller                   | 3714                      |
| All Participants: Status f Application: <u>mendment</u>  |                               |                           |
| (1) <u>Bena Miller</u> .   | (3)                           |                           |
| (2) Mr. Randolph Smith.  | (4)                           |                           |
| Date of Interview: <u>24 January 2005</u>  | Time: <u>10 am</u>            |                           |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                               |                           |
| Part I.  |                               |                           |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |                               |                           |
| Claims discussed:  |                               |                           |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |                               |                           |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Se Examiner's Amendment   |                               |                           |
| Part III.  |                               |                           |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
| Berg B. NIE.   |                               |                           |
| (Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant  | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |